

Received by email from Casper Kruijer on 27th of February, 2014¹:

Dear Mr. Eberl and Mrs Baron,

FEI submitted on June 25th 2013 a RoHS Exempt proposal for FEI part re-use, as prepared together with Mr Goodman from ERA.

Earlier this week we have had some conversations with Mr Hans van der Wel (Philips Healthcare) and Mr Riccardo Corridori (COCIR) and we discussed 3 small changes that we would like to have adapted in our exempt proposal from June 25th 2013.

The 3 changes are:

1. All 6 substances exempted: despite we know that some of the RoHS substances are not used in our old products, providing proof in the technical documentation is quite impossible, as suppliers (if still existing) have no clue about substance contents of components they sold many years ago when RoHS was not an issue. Testing is unfeasible as well.
2. "Initially recovered" added: it should be possible to reuse parts which have been already reused.
3. "Spare parts" changed with "parts": there is no clear difference between parts and spare parts. We would like to be allowed to reuse all parts not just a subset that is not even well defined.

With these small changes we feel the Cat.8 and Cat.9 exemptions on re-use of parts are better aligned and it would be easier for all parties to follow up on these exemptions and the exempt requests.

I expect you will get this similar proposal from Mr Hans van der Wel as well as Mr Riccardo Corridori, for your reference I copied the original proposal from Mr Corridori to FEI, below.

Hoping you can agree with these small changes and awaiting your response,
With the Best Regards,

Casper Kruijer | Technology Program Manager

FEI

de Schakel 2, 5651 GG, Eindhoven, Netherlands

T [REDACTED] **M** [REDACTED] **fei.com**

Explore. Discover. Resolve.

¹ The referenced email from Mr Corridori has been removed.